

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/386,605	TAYLOR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Georgia L. Helmer	1638	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Georgia L. Helmer. (3) Phuong Bui.
 (2) Tom McBride. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 November 2002.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: none.

Identification of prior art discussed: none.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

i) ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview(if box is checked).

Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant Tom McBride queried the Examiner on November 25, 2002 about a discrepancy, bringing it to the Examiner's attention. An inadvertent error by the Office was made in the Office Action Summary (PTO-326) of the August 27, 2002 action-the Status of the action was checked as being non-final. This is incorrect. Applicant requested that the Office Action be maintained as a non-final. The August 27, 2002 Rejection raised no new grounds of rejection and is a proper final rejection.. The August 27, 2002 action is being vacated and a supplemental action is being issued. This action is a Final action. The Office regrets any inconvenience caused to Applicant..